



CRL OP(MD). No.19433 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.19433 of 2023

Selvam,

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

**State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi North Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.313 of 2023).**

... Respondent/Complainant

**For Petitioner : M/s.Ramanathan.K, Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.R.Sureshkumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)**

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.313 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 21(4) of Mines and Minerals

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.19433 of 2023

(Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.313 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused have illegally transported one unit of M-sand. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against him. Therefore, he prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that petitioner along with other accused have illegally transported one unit of M-sand. hence he strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However he fairly concedes that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the facts that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is not having any bad antecedents and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of



CRL OP(MD). No.19433 of 2023

heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residents at Avarangudi Thoppu, Otterpalayam, Coimbatore. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Karaikudi, Sivagangai District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons;

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during



CRL OP(MD). No.19433 of 2023

investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
01/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KARAIKUDI, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

2 DO-THROUGH :
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.



CRL OP(MD). No.19433 of 2023

WEB COPY

- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KARAIKUDI NORTH POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19433 of 2023
Date :01/11/2023

PKP/JGB/SAR- /06.11.2023/ 5P/ 5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023