



WP(MD)No.12840 of 2017

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 28.06.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.12840 of 2017

and

WMP(MD)No.9936 of 2017

Senthil Cable Network,
Rep. by its Managing Partner
S.Senthilkumar

... Petitioner

v.

- 1.The Secretary,
Hon'ble Minister for Information and Broadcasting,
Government of India,
2, Krishna Menon Marg,
New Delhi – 110 001.
- 2.The District Collector / Nodal Officer,
Cable TV,
Thanjavur District,
Thanjavur.
- 3.The Managing Director,
Arasu Cable TV Corporation Ltd.,
34/123, 6th Floor,
Durga Towers,
Marsal Salai,
Chennai – 600 008.



WP(MD)No.12840 of 2017

4. The Special Tahsildar,
Tamil Nadu Cable TV Corporation Ltd.,
Thanjavur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus forbearing the respondents 3 & 4 from interfering in the day-to-day activities of the petitioner's partnership firm namely Senthil Cable Network having control room at No.131/A, Rathinaswamy Nagar, Nanjikottai and hub Room in No.12, Elanga Complex, Devan Street, Court Road, Thanjavur – 1 from implementing Digital Addressable System to their local cable TV operators, as per the DAS license dated 23.08.2016 granted by the first respondent, based on the petitioner's representation dated 08.07.2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Prasanna Vinoth

For Respondents : Mr.P.Dharmaraj,
Central Government Standing Counsel
for R.1

Mr.David Sundar Singh,
Standing Counsel
for R.2 to R.4



WP(MD)No.12840 of 2017

ORDER

WEB COPY This writ petition has been filed by a private MSO for a mandamus forbearing the respondents 3 & 4 from interfering with his business activities.

2.Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the fourth respondent on 06.07.2017 came to his office and threatened to seal the premises. Therefore, the petitioner has submitted a representation to the second respondent / District Collector, who is the Nodal Officer and has approached this Court.

3.Learned Standing Counsel for the Arasu Cable TV Corporation submitted that they have never went to the petitioner's office and they have never intimidated him, at any point of time.

4.The petitioner has not substantiated his claim that the fourth respondent has ever intimidated him and the reasons for such intimidation, with any materials. The petitioner has also not impleaded the fourth respondent in his individual capacity with any specific averments.



WEB COPY



WP(MD)No.12840 of 2017

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

gk

In view of the above and recording the submission made by the learned Standing Counsel for Arasu Cable TV Corporation that they have never intimidated the petitioner, this writ petition stands closed as no further order is required to be passed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
gk

28.06.2023

WP(MD)No.12840 of 2017