



CRL OP(MD). No.19209 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.19209 of 2023

1. Bhuviyarasan @ Puviyarasan,

2. Anbarasan,

... Petitioners/ A1 & A2

Vs

The State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Mimisal Police Station,
Pudukkottai District.
Crime No.138/2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.PRASANNA.R,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.SURESH KUMAR,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.138/2023 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 and A2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.138 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory

bail

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.19209 of 2023

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 08.10.2023, when the de-facto complainant was in prawn farm, the petitioners came to the spot and scolded him in filthy language, the first petitioner attacked the de-facto complainant with hand and the second petitioner attacked him with aruval and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the facts that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine



CRL OP(MD). No.19209 of 2023

WEB COPY

of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Avudaiyarkoil Taluk, Pudukkottai District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aranthangi, on condition that the petitioners shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons;



CRL OP(MD). No.19209 of 2023

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
01/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI
TO
1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARANTHANGI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MIMISAL POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

<https://www.mmc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD). No.19209 of 2023

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.PRASANNA, Advocate (SR-15749[I] dated 01/11/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.19209 of 2023
Date :01/11/2023

SA/VR/SAR. /06.11.2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.