



CRL OP(MD). No.19170 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 01/11/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.19170 of 2023

Abdul Rajak,

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.393/2023)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.ARJUN S,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.SURESH KUMAR,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.393/2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.393 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is running a



CRL OP(MD). No.19170 of 2023

WEB COPY

Banana wholesale shop near Natham Town Masthan Pallivasal. On 08.03.2023, the petitioner went to the de-facto complainant's shop and demanded 10 bunches of bananas for debt, but the same was refused by the de-facto complainant and stated that he sale only for cash. Subsequently, the de-facto complainant's brother went to the petitioner's shop and questioned about the same, the petitioner attacked his brother. Thereafter, the occurrence have been informed to the de-facto complainant and when the same was questioned, the petitioner attacked the de-facto complainant also with wooden log and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the counter case is pending against the de-facto complainant and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that it is a case and case in counter and the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the facts that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and it is a counter case and taking



CRL OP(MD). No.19170 of 2023

WEB COPY

into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residents at Sirangattupatti, Dindigul District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Natham, on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police



CRL OP(MD). No.19170 of 2023

WEB COPY

officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons;

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
01/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.19170 of 2023

SJI

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NATHAM.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NATHAM POLICE STATION
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.ARJUN, Advocate (SR-15837[I] dated 02/11/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.19170 of 2023

Date :01/11/2023

SA/VR/SAR. /06.11.2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.