



CRL OP(MD)No.19118 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 31.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.19118 of 2023

T.Kamaleshkiran

... Petitioner/ Accused Rank No.3

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
K.K.Nagar Police Station,
Trichy City, Trichy District.
(Crime No.719 of 2022)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Rajkumar, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar,
Government Advocate(Crl.side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.719 of 2022 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 & 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.719 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



CRL OP(MD)No.19118 of 2023

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the student studying B.Com in EVR college and he is staying in the hostel. On 19.06.2022, the mobile phone of the defacto complainant's roommate was missing and hence, they enquired about the same with the other roommates. Due to the same, the accused persons developed enmity. Thereafter, the mobile phone was found. However, the accused persons abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also attacked him by asking how he questioned them about the stolen mobile phone. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the investigation in this case is not yet completed.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in *Gurubaksh*



CRL OP(MD)No.19118 of 2023

WEB COPY

Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and *Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others* reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and *Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others* reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residence at Thoothukudi District. Hence the principles stated in *Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar* reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Trichy, Trichy District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a **own** bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the



CRL OP(MD)No.19118 of 2023

WEB COPY

respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD)No.19118 of 2023

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under

WEB COPY

Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
31/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, TRICHY DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TRICHY DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
K.K. NAGAR POLICE STATION, TRICHY CITY,
TRICHY DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.P.RAJKUMAR, Advocate (SR-15828[I] dated 02/11/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.19118 of 2023
Date :31/10/2023

SS/JGB/SAR- /16/11/2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023