



H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **11.12.2023**

Coram

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

Yogeshwaran

.. Petitioner

VS

1.The Additional Chief Secretary of Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison,
Madurai District.

.. Respondents

Prayer:- Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the entire records connected with the detention order of the respondent No.2 in Detention Order No.42/2023 dated 04.07.2023 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu, namely Yogeshwaran, son of Krishnamoorthy aged about 37 years, who is detained in Central Prison,



H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

Madurai, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.


WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Rameshkumar
For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

When the captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of brevity] was listed before us on 10.11.2023, this Bench made the following order and a scanned reproduction of the same is as follows:





WEB COPY



H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

Code, 1860 (Act 45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of brevity] which was subsequently altered into Sections 450, 324 and 302 IPC and again altered into Sections 324, 302, 449 and 323 of IPC.

4. The aforementioned impugned preventive detention order has been made on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' under Section 2(f) of 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity].

5. The impugned preventive detention order has been assailed *inter alia* on the ground that a similar case bail order relied on in the grounds booklet furnished to the detenu is not similar in nature.

6. *Prima facie* case made out for admission. Admit. Issue *Rule nisi* returnable by four [4] weeks.

2/4

H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

7. Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, State of Tamil Nadu accepts notice for all respondents. List the captioned Habeas Corpus Petition accordingly.

[M.S., J.] & [R.S.V., J.]
10.11.2023

vsm

2. The aforementioned 10.11.2023 order captures all essentials, i.e., all facts that are imperative for appreciating this final order and therefore, we are not setting out the facts again in this final order. Suffice to say that aforementioned order shall be read as an integral part and parcel of this final order. Be that as it may, we are using the short forms, short references and



abbreviations used in the order dated 10.11.2023 in this order also for the sake of convenience and clarity.

3. Mr.S.Rameshkumar, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

4. There is no adverse case. The ground case which constitutes sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.109 of 2023 on the file of Dindigul Town West Police Station for the alleged offences under Sections 324 and 302 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity] and subsequently altered into Sections 450, 324, 302 of IPC and again altered into Sections 324, 302, 449 and 323 of IPC. Considering the nature of the challenge to the impugned preventive detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix of the case.

5. As would be evident from paragraph 5 of the Admission Board order, at the time of admission, learned counsel on record for petitioner posited his challenge to the impugned preventive detention order on the ground that a similar case bail order relied on in the grounds booklet



H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

furnished to the detenu is not similar in nature but today, learned counsel changed his line of attack and predicated his campaign against the impugned preventive detention order on the point that the detenu was arrested on 15.04.2023 but the impugned preventive detention order has been made only on 04.07.2023 resulting in live and proximate link between grounds and purpose of detention getting snapped.

6. Mr.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1381***]. To be noted, **Banik** case arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the



H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis.

Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334**, **Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733**, **Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110**, **N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of similar orders in HCP cases.

9. To be noted, the impugned preventive detention order is predicated on a solitary case viz., Crime No.109 of 2023 on the file of Dindigul Town



H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

West Police Station, for alleged offences under Sections 324 and 302 of IPC and subsequently altered into Sections 450, 324, 302 of IPC and again altered into Sections 324, 302, 449 and 323 of IPC and therefore this solitary case is the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order.

10. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

11. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 04.07.2023 bearing Detention Order No. 42/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Yogeshwaran, aged 37 years, son of Thiru.Krishnamoorthy, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (R.S.V.,J.)
11.12.2023

Index : Yes/No

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

PKN

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Madurai. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary of Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai – 600 009.
 - 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Office of the District Magistrate and District Collector,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
 - 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Madurai Central Prison,
Madurai District.
 - 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.
 5. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai.



WEB COPY



H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

PKN

H.C.P(MD)No.1381 of 2023

11.12.2023