



CRL OP(MD). No.18964 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.18964 of 2023

Vanaraj

... Petitioner/ Accused
Rank not known

Vs

The State rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
Puliangudi Police Station,
Tenkasi District.
Crime No. 133 of 2023.

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : S. Vidhya Sagar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Sureshkumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 133 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.18964 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 309 IPC @ 306 IPC in Crime No.133 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the deceased married the petitioner earlier and had the same was warned by the defacot complainant. Subsequently, she died on 21.04.2023 on consuming poison. In such circumstances, the defacto complainant has given a complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted only on the instigation of the petitioner, the deceased has consumed poison and died. He would further submit that investigation of the case is pending and hence, strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.18964 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that both the petitioner and the deceased was married and subsequently, she consumed poison and died.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent resident at Thenkasi District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.



CRL OP(MD). No.18964 of 2023

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sivagiri on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with



CRL OP(MD). No.18964 of 2023

WEB COPY

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
20/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SIVAGIRI.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PULIANGUDI POLICE STATION,
TENKASI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.



CRL OP(MD). No.18964 of 2023

WEB COPY +1 CC to M/s.S.VIDHYASAGAR, Advocate (SR-15578[I] dated 20/10/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18964 of 2023
Date :20/10/2023

RK/VRS (30/10/2023) 6P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023