



CRL OP(MD). No.18991 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM
CRL OP(MD). No.18991 of 2023

Yugesh

... Petitioner / Accused No.2

Vs

The State Rep by its
The Inspector of Police,
Nainar Koil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.143/2023).

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.M.S.Jeyakarthik, Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.Rms.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.143/2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.143 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



CRL OP(MD). No.18991 of 2023

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that the accused persons have chased the defacto complainant's sons by showing the deadly weapons, and at the time the defacto complainant intervened the accused persons. Hence, they have attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the accused persons have chased the defacto complainant's sons by showing the deadly weapons, and at the time the defacto complainant intervened the accused persons. Hence, they have attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries and now, the injured was discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. He would further submit that investigation of the case is pending and hence, strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that it is a case of previous enmity and now, the injured was discharged from the hospital.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme



CRL OP(MD). No.18991 of 2023

WEB COPY

Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residents at Sivagangai District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined

to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of



CRL OP(MD). No.18991 of 2023

the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
20/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP



CRL OP(MD). No.18991 of 2023

To
WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Paramakudi.

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Nainar Koil Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

1CC to M/s.JEYAKARTHIK, Advocate, SR-15540(I), dated 20.10.2023.

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18991 of 2023
Date :20/10/2023

ED/VRS/SAR- (30/10/2023) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023