



CRL OP(MD)No.18941 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.18941 of 2023

1.Suresh @ Suresh Kumar

2.Sharmila @ SharmilaBegam

3.Ravichandran

... Petitioners/ Accused 2 to 4

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
CCB, Trichy City,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.15 of 2023)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.ILAYARAJA, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.R.SURESH KUMAR, Government Advocate(Crl.side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.15 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 417, 419, 420, 463, 465, 468, 471, 506(i) & 120(B) IPC in Crime No.15 of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant was looking for a plot for constructing a house. The petitioners herein approached him and introduced one Muthulaxshmi and told that she is a power agent of one Arivumani,



CRL OP(MD)No.18941 of 2023

W/o.Gandhimathi Nathan. On 16.10.2020, the defacto complainant purchased the land and paid a sum of Rs.85,00,000/- as a sale consideration. Thereafter, the defacto complainant came to know that the said Muthulaxshmi is not a power agent of Arivumani and they sold the property by creating fabricated documents. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioners only introduced the said Muthulaxshmi to the defacto complainant and they have not created any documents. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the accused persons sold the property to the defacto complainant by creating forged documents and hence, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.On perusal of records, it is noticed that the petitioners only introduced the said Muthulaxshmi to the defacto complainant and they have not created any forged documents.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632



CRL OP(MD)No.18941 of 2023

WEB COPY

and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Trichy District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Tiruchirappalli on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand



CRL OP(MD)No.18941 of 2023

dismissed and on further condition that :

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioners shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
20/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD)No.18941 of 2023

GNS

TO

WEB COPY

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT NO.IV, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CCB, TRICHY CITY,
TRICHY DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.ILAYARAJA, Advocate (SR-15544[I] dated 20/10/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18941 of 2023

Date :20/10/2023

SA/JGB/SAR. /26.10.2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.