



CRL OP(MD)No.18905 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 20.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.18905 of 2023

Ramasamy

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Samayapuram Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.324 of 2023)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Illanchezian, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.324 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for



CRL OP(MD)No.18905 of 2023

the offences punishable under Sections 3(1), 3(2)(a), 3(2)(b) and 4(1) of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 in Crime No.324 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that based on the secret information, the police searched the lodge owned by the petitioner, wherein, they found that two women involved in immoral trafficking with the help of the staff of the lodge. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that at the time of alleged crime, the petitioner was not present and no previous case is pending as against him. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner is the owner of the lodge, which was managed by other accused. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and there is no crime happened in the said lodge.



WEB COPY

5. On perusal of records, it is noticed that the petitioner is not having any previous case and no crime happened in the petitioner's building.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in *Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab* reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and *Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others* reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and *Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others* reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent resident at Trichy District. Hence the principles stated in *Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar* reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event



CRL OP(MD)No.18905 of 2023

WEB COPY

of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Trichy on condition that the petitioner shall execute a **own** bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD)No.18905 of 2023

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under

WEB COPY

Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
20/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III
TRICHY.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TRICHY.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SAMAYAPURAM POLICE STATION, TRICHY DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.V.ILLANCHEZIAN, Advocate (SR-15553[I] dated 20/10/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18905 of 2023
Date :20/10/2023

SS/VRS/SAR- /07/11/2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023