



CRL OP(MD). No.18903 of 2023

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.18903 of 2023

M.Suresh Pandian

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Andipatti,
Theni District.
(Crime No.10/2023)..

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : N. Pragalathan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.10/2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD). No.18903 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/ Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 420 and 498(A) IPC in Crime No.10 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a matrimonial dispute between the husband and wife and the petitioner herein also demanded additional dowry from the defacto complainant. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case and seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that suppressing the earlier marriage the petitioner herein has married the defacto complainant and the investigation of the case is pending and hence, strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.18903 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that it is a case of matrimonial dispute between the husband and wife.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent resident at Theni District and he is ready to deposit the amount received by him. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.



CRL OP(MD). No.18903 of 2023

WEB COPY

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti, Theni District on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receipt of summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD). No.18903 of 2023

WEB COPY

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

/ TRUE COPY /

sd/-
19/10/2023

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ANDIPATTI, THENI DISTRICT.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THENI.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, ANDIPATTI,
THENI DISTRICT.



CRL OP(MD). No.18903 of 2023

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.N.PRAGALATHAN, Advocate (SR-15461[I] dated 19/10/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18903 of 2023
Date :19/10/2023

RK/VRS (31/10/2023) 6P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023