



HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 11.12.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.SAKTHIVEL**

HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023

Panchavarnam

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XVI) Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thanjavur District, Thanjavur.

3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli.

4. The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur Town East Police Station,
Thanjavur.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records relating to the Detention Order passed by the 2nd respondent in P.D.No. 35/2023 dated 02.06.2023 and to quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the person or body of the detenu, Good Surya @ Surya, S/o. Kannan, aged about 20 years, before this Court and set him at liberty, now detained at Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli.



WEB COPY




HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023

For Petitioner : Ms.A.Devaki
For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

When the captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of brevity] was listed in the Admission Board on 20.10.2023, a Hon'ble Coordinate Division Bench made the following order in the Admission Board:





HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023

2. It has now become necessary to set out a thumbnail sketch of factual matrix and we do so in the paragraphs infra.

3. Today, the captioned matter is in the Final Hearing Board.

4. Ms.A.Devaki, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

5. Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of convenience and clarity] has been filed by the mother of the detenu assailing the 'preventive detention order dated 02.06.2023 bearing reference P.D.No.35/2023' [hereinafter 'impugned preventive detention order' for the sake of brevity and convenience]. To be noted, 4th respondent is the sponsoring authority [hereinafter 'sponsoring authority' for convenience and brevity] and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned preventive detention order has been made by second respondent.



6. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil

Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

7. There is one adverse case and one ground case. The ground case which constitutes substantial part of substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.218 of 2023 on the file of Thanjavur Town East Police Station registered under Sections 294(b), 307 and 302 of 'Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act 45 of 1860)' ['IPC' for brevity]. Considering the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix of the case.

8. In the final hearing today, learned counsel predicated his campaign against the impugned preventive detention order on the point



that the detenu was arrested on 26.03.2023 but the impugned preventive detention order has been made only on 02.06.2023 resulting in live and proximate link between grounds and purpose of detention getting snapped.

9. Mr.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts / circumstances of the case on hand and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

10. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case ***[Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333]***. To be noted, **Banik** case arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be



HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023

examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in ***Banik*** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

11. To be noted, ***Banik*** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in ***Gomathi Vs. The Principal Secretary to Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023:MHC:1159*** and a series of similar orders in HCP cases.

12. To be noted, the adverse case is in Crime No.219 of 2023 on the file of Thanjavur Town East Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 353 and 506(ii) of IPC [alleged occurrence on 25.03.2023], ground case is Crime No.218 of 2023 on the file of



HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023

Thanjavur Town East Police Station registered under Sections 294(b), 307 and 302 of IPC [alleged occurrence on 26.03.2023] and therefore time consumed remains unexplained.

13. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

14. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 02.06.2023 bearing reference in P.D.No. 35/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Good Surya alias Surya, aged 20 years, son of Thiru.Kannan, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S., J.) (R.S.V., J.)
11.12.2023

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
bala

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XVI) Department,
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thanjavur District,
Thanjavur.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison
Tiruchirappalli.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur Town East Police Station,
Thanjavur.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.
6. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

bala

ORDER MADE IN
HCP(MD)No.1290 of 2023
DATED : 11.12.2023
(2/2)