



CRL OP(MD)No.19144 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 17.11.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.19144 of 2023

M.Prem Anand

... Petitioner/2nd Accused

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Kanyakumari District.
Crime No.33 of 2023

... Respondent/Defacto Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.MURUGAN, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.R.SURESH KUMAR
Government Advocate(Crl.side)

For Intervenor : Mr.K.JEYAMOHAN, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.33 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for

the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 506(i) IPC in Crime No.33 of 2023 on



CRL OP(MD)No.19144 of 2023

the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons received a sum of Rs.89,80,000/- in order to doing business by selling vegetables through their self help group. Thereafter, they failed to share the profit and also refused to return the amount. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the defacto complainant filed a private complaint before the Court below under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., and as per direction of the Court below, the present case came to be registered. He would further submit that the investigation in this case is not yet completed.

5.On perusal of records, it is noticed that there was money transaction between the parties and all the allegations are civil in nature.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)



CRL OP(MD)No.19144 of 2023

WEB COPY

4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residence at Kanyakumari District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the



CRL OP(MD)No.19144 of 2023

surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass

WEB COPY

Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
17/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS
TO
1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I
NAGERCOIL, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

<https://www.jmhc.tn.gov.in/judis/>



CRL OP(MD)No.19144 of 2023

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.M.MOHAMED SHERBUDEEN, Advocate (SR-16435[I] dated
17/11/2023)

+1 CC to M/s.K.JEYAMOHAN, Advocate (SR-16470[I] dated 17/11/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.19144 of 2023
Date :17/11/2023

SA/DD/SAR. /28.11.2023/5P/7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.