



CRL OP(MD)No.18777 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.18777 of 2023

Preethi Fathima Devaraj

... Petitioner / Accused Rank not known

Vs

1 State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Kulasekaram Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.
(Cr.No.190 of 2023)

2 The Inspector of Police,
CBCID Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.
(Crime No.1 of 2023)

... Respondents / Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Karunanithi, Advocate

For Respondents : Mrs.M.Aasha, Government Advocate(Crl.side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.190 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for



CRL OP(MD)No.18777 of 2023

the offences punishable under Section 306 IPC in Crime No.1 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of the defacto complainant committed suicide in the college hostel. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the deceased committed suicide in the college hostel and in the suicide note, the deceased specifically stated about the petitioner herein. Hence, at this stage, if anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, it will affect the investigation. Therefore, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.On perusal of suicide note reveals that nothing stated as against the petitioner herein and there is no adverse statement in the suicide note as against the petitioner.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others



CRL OP(MD)No.18777 of 2023

WEB COPY

reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is

seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime.

Further the petitioner is having permanent resident at Thanjavur District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Padmanabhapuram on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix her photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the



CRL OP(MD)No.18777 of 2023

WEB COPY

surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure her identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
18/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS



CRL OP(MD)No.18777 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Padmanabhapuram.

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Kanyakumari District at Nagercoil.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Kulasekaram Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.

4.The Inspector of Police,
CBCID Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.

5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1CC to M/s.M.KARUNANITHI, Advocate, SR.No.15398(I), dated 18.10.2023.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18777 of 2023

Date :18/10/2023

ED/VRS /SAR- (03/11/2023) 5P / 7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023