



CRL OP(MD). No.18680 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 16/11/2023

PRESENT

The Honourable Mr.Justice V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.18680 of 2023

Rajaprabhu

... Petitioner/ Accused No.6

Vs

The State rep.by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Karaikudi North Police Station,  
Sivagangai District.  
(Crimeo.216 of 2023).

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.G.Karuppasamypandiyan,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 216 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police



CRL OP(MD). No.18680 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner/ A6, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(B), 308 IPC and Section 27(2), 29(a) of Arms Act in Crime No.216 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 07.08.2023, there was wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant and the accused Nos.1 and 2. At that time, A1 threatened the defacto complainant by showing a gun and also gave a gun short towards the earth. Due to that, the defacto complainant and others fed away from the scene of occurrence. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that no weapon has been recovered from this petitioner and there is no specific overt act as against this petitioner. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



CRL OP(MD). No.18680 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police has strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, however, he conceded that there is no recovery from this petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on the record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the alleged offence against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Sivagangai District. Hence, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.



CRL OP(MD). No.18680 of 2023

WEB COPY

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of his Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with



**CRL OP(MD). No.18680 of 2023**

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-  
16/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. )  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

skn

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KARAIKUDI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SIVAGANGAI.

3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
KARAIKUDI NORTH POLICE STATION, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.G.KARUPPASAMY PANDIYAN, Advocate ( SR-16383[I] dated 16/11/2023 )

ORDER IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.18680 of 2023  
Date :16/11/2023

RK /SKN(27/11/2023) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>