



CRL OP(MD). No.18554 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.18554 of 2023

C.Kumar

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Melur Police Station
Madurai
Crime No. 669 of 2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Shankar Ganesh.R,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 669 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ A1, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police



CRL OP(MD). No.18554 of 2023

for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 427 and 506(ii) of IPC in

WEB COPY

Crime No.669 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity the petitioner herein abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted and threatened with dire consequences and also caused damage to the mirror of the vehicle, hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against him. Hence he seeks anticipatory to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that petitioner herein abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted and threatened with dire consequences and also caused damage to the mirror of the vehicle, hence she objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. It is reported that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case pending against the petitioner.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in *Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab* reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and *Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others* reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and *Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others*



CRL OP(MD). No.18554 of 2023

WEB COPY

reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is

seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime.

Further the petitioner is having permanent residents at Madurai District. Hence the

principles stated in *Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar*

reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble

Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to

be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after

taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not

likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, Madurai District on condition that the petitioner shall execute **own** bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons



CRL OP(MD). No.18554 of 2023

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
16/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

AAV

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MELUR, MADURAI DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
MADURAI DISTRICT.



CRL OP(MD). No.18554 of 2023

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MELUR POLICE STATION MADURAI

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.SHANKAR GANESH, Advocate (SR-15245[I] dated 16/10/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18554 of 2023
Date :16/10/2023

SS/VRS/18/10/2023/5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023