



CRL OP(MD). No.18476 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.18476 of 2023

1. Suganesan,

2. Seeralan,

3. Kaliyammal,

4. Rohini @ Roshini,

5. Ramu,

... Petitioners/ Accused

Vs

State Rep.by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Thiruvadanai Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.255/2023).

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.K.Muthuvai Ilayaraja,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C



CRL OP(MD). No.18476 of 2023

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.255 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/ Accused who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147,294(b), 323,506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.255 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to land dispute the petitioners herein abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and a false case has been foisted against them. Therefore, he prayed for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that due to land dispute the petitioners herein abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted and also threatened with dire consequences, hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that it is a case and case in counter.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme



CRL OP(MD). No.18476 of 2023

Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Ramanathapuram District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned



CRL OP(MD). No.18476 of 2023

Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on receipt of summons

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
16/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

AAV



CRL OP(MD). No.18476 of 2023

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, THIRUVADANAI.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 3 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, THIRUVADANAI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18476 of 2023
Date :16/10/2023

RS/DD/SAR-(19.10.2023) 5P 5C
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023