



CRL OP(MD)No.18500 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM
CRL OP(MD)No.18500 of 2023

S.Kailasam

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Musiri Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.385 of 2023)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.A.C.Asaithambi, Advocate
For Respondent : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.385 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 427 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.385 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 30.09.2023, when the defacto complainant, who is the Driver of the Corporation bus, plied bus from Musiri bus stand to Velakkanatham, at about 04.45 pm., the petitioner instructed the driver and



CRL OP(MD)No.18500 of 2023

conductor to hit the corporation bus, because of business motive. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that due to business motive, the accused hit the corporation bus and caused damage worth about Rs.5,000/-. Therefore, he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent resident at Trichy District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties;



CRL OP(MD)No.18500 of 2023

WEB COPY

that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

6.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Musiri on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b]the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the credit of Cr.No.385 of 2023 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Musiri, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, without prejudice his rights before the trial Court. After deposit, the learned Magistrate shall



CRL OP(MD)No.18500 of 2023

deposit the amount to the credit of Government Account.

WEB COPY

[c]the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
13/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/11/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

gns
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MUSIRI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, TRICHY DISTRICT.



CRL OP(MD)No.18500 of 2023

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, MUSIRI POLICE STATION, TRICHY DISTRICT.

WEB COPY

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.A.C.ASAITHAMBI, Advocate (SR-15150[I] dated 13/10/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18500 of 2023

Date :13/10/2023

RS/JGB/SAR-(01.11.2023) 5P 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023