



WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.19034 of 2023



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.11.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.19034 of 2023

- 1.Veeraprasath
- 2.Radhakrishnan
- 3.Veerasamy
- 4.K.V.Chidamparam
- 5.Subramanian
- 6.Narayanan

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.
1 to 6

Vs.

- 1.State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Sethubavachatram Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(FIR No.58 of 2022).

...1st Respondent/Complainant

- 2.Solai Subramanian

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to FIR No.58 of 2022, dated 18.01.2022, on the file of the first respondent Sethubavachatram Police Station, Thanjavur and quash the same.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.19034 of 2023



For Petitioner : Mr.R.Murugappan

For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.side).

For R2 : Mr. M.Manojkumar

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.58 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.58 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 448, 324, 506(ii) of IPC and Section 3(1) of Prevention of Damage to the Public Property Act, 1984, against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.



4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 17.11.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.518 of 2022 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.58 of 2022, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

17.11.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
Indu



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.19034 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN. J.

Indu

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Sethubavachatram Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.19034 of 2023

17.11.2023