



CRL OP(MD)No.18334 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM
CRL OP(MD)No.18334 of 2023

Nambirajan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Alanganallur Police Station,
Madurai District.
In Crime No.393 of 2023

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.M.Anantha Murugan, Advocate
For Respondent : Mrs.M.Aasha, Government Advocate(Crl.side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.393 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) IPC in Crime No.393 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 06.10.2023, at about 10.00 am., when the road construction work was going on, the petitioner stated that the defacto complainant is swallowing the public money in the name of laying road and also



CRL OP(MD)No.18334 of 2023

threatened the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the investigation in this case is still pending and hence, she opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, she would fairly concede that no one was injured in the alleged occurrence.

5.On perusal of records, it is noticed that no one was injured in the alleged occurrence.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent resident at Madurai District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has



CRL OP(MD)No.18334 of 2023

WEB COPY

cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is it to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vadipatti, Madurai District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD)No.18334 of 2023

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
12/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

gns
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, VADIPATTI, MADURAI DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, ALANGANALLUR POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.M.ANANTHA MURUGAN, Advocate (SR-15113[I] dated
13/10/2023.)

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD)No.18334 of 2023

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18334 of 2023
Date :12/10/2023

RS/VRS/SAR-(27.10.2023) 5P 6C
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023