



CRL OP(MD)No.18208 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.18208 of 2023

Abimanyu

... Petitioner/1st Accused

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Thenkarai Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.381 of 2023)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Poornachandran, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.381 of 2/6023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for



CRL OP(MD)No.18208 of 2023

the offences punishable under Sections 147, 447, 427, 294(b), 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No.381 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 17.09.2023, the petitioner and five others damaged the compound wall constructed by the defacto complainant. When the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, the accused persons abused her in filthy language and also criminally intimidated her with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioners damaged the compound wall of the defacto complainant and when the same was questioned, they abused and criminally intimidated her. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, he would fairly submit that no one was injured in the alleged occurrence.



WEB COPY

5. On perusal of records, it is noticed that there was civil dispute between the parties and it is also noticed that no one was injured in the alleged occurrence.

6. Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in *Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab* reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and *Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others* reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and *Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others* reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent resident at Theni District. Hence the principles stated in *Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar* reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.



CRL OP(MD)No.18208 of 2023

WEB COPY

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam on condition that the petitioner shall execute a **own** bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD)No.18208 of 2023

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
12/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PERIYAKULAM.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THENI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THENKARAI POLICE STATION, THENI DISTRICT.



CRL OP(MD)No.18208 of 2023

WEB COPY

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18208 of 2023
Date :12/10/2023

SS/JGB/SAR- /26/10/2023/6P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023