



CRL OP(MD)No.18188 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 11.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.18188 of 2023

1.Rajagopal

2.Ayyar @ Udayakumar

3.Vairamani

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1,3&4

Vs

The State rep.by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
M.Pudupatti Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.  
Crime No.128 of 2023

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.P.R.Boomee Rajan, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,  
Additional Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.128 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD)No.18188 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 354 & 506(i) IPC r/w Section 4 of the Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.128 of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the bar owner. On 10.08.2023, the petitioners were consumed alcohol in the above said bar, at that time, there was a quarrel arose between the complainant and the petitioners, in which, the petitioners abused the complainant and his son in filthy language and also caused simple injury. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the the petitioners in a drunken mode, picked up quarrel with the



WEB COPY

complainant and his son. He would further submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

5.On perusal of the records, it is noticed that there was a quarrel between the petitioners and the complainant in a bar and it is also noticed that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Virudhunagar District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to



CRL OP(MD)No.18188 of 2023

abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

WEB COPY

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Sivakasi Virudhunagar District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.



CRL OP(MD)No.18188 of 2023

WEB COPY

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-  
11/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023  
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. )  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

gns



CRL OP(MD)No.18188 of 2023

TO  
WEB COPY

1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,  
SIVAKASI,  
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT @ SRIVILLIPUTHUR.

3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
M.PUDUPATTI POLICE STATION,  
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.PR.BOOMEERAJAN, Advocate ( SR-15039[I] dated 12/10/2023 )

ORDER  
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18188 of 2023

Date :11/10/2023

RK/VRS (20/10/2023) 6P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from  
17/07/2023