



CRL OP(MD). No.18186 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM
CRL OP(MD). No.18186 of 2023

1. Palaniammal
2. Raja
3. Radha
4. Sathishkumar

... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1 to 4

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Golden Rock Police Station,
Trichy District.
(Crime No.1277/2023).

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.Alagia Nambi R, Advocate.
For Respondent : Mr.R.Sureshkumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 1277/2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 420 IPC in Crime No.1277 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.



CRL OP(MD). No.18186 of 2023

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that defacto complainant is the second wife of the deceased Chelladurai and the A1 is first wife of the said deceased. In such circumstances, A1 suppressing the said fact, has obtained legal heirs certificate in her name and children. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that suppressing the fact that the defacto complainant is second wife of the deceased Chelladurai, A1 has obtained Legal Heir Certificate only in the name of her family members, who are the accused herein and the investigation of the case is pending.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is noticed that A1, who is the wife of first accused to the deceased, has obtained Legal Heir Certificate only in the name of her family members.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged



CRL OP(MD). No.18186 of 2023

WEB COPY

offence* against the petitioners are not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioners are having permanent resident at Kottapattu, Trichy District and the origin of the crime is family dispute. In this circumstances, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused have their roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, they can safely be released on his own bond.

7. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.V, Trichy, on condition that the petitioners shall execute own bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court as and when required for



CRL OP(MD). No.18186 of 2023

the purpose of interrogation.

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
11/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TRP

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.V,
Trichy.



CRL OP(MD). No.18186 of 2023

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Trichy District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Golden Rock Police Station,
Trichy District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.R.ALAGIA NAMBI, Advocate (SR-15012[I] dated 11/10/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18186 of 2023
Date :11/10/2023

ED/VRS/SAR- (20/10/2023) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023