



CRL OP(MD)No.18115 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM
CRL OP(MD)No.18115 of 2023

- 1.Muthumarisamy
- 2.Muthuraman
- 3.Pommusamy
- 4.Padendal Rajan @ Padendalsamy
- 5.Muniyasamy
- 6.Jayapandi
- 7.Shanmugapriya @ Shanmugalakshmi
- 8.Mareeswari
- 9.Kaliyammal
- 10.Kannan

... Petitioners / Accused Rank not known

Vs

State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Sankaralingapuram Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(In Crime No.53 of 2023)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Murugappan, Advocate
For Respondent : M/s.M.Aasha,
Government Advocate(Crl.side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.53 of 2023 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-



CRL OP(MD)No.18115 of 2023

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.53 of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to elopement of a couple, the petitioners were assembled unlawfully, waylaid the defacto complainant and abused him in filthy language and also assaulted him with weapons and also criminally intimidated him. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

5.On perusal of records, it is noticed that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is



CRL OP(MD)No.18115 of 2023

WEB COPY

seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Thoothukudi District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

8.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Vilathikulam, Thoothukudi District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



CRL OP(MD)No.18115 of 2023

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
12/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS



CRL OP(MD)No.18115 of 2023

WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Vilathikulam, Thoothukudi District.

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Sankaralingapuram Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.R.MURUGAPPAN, Advocate (SR-15117[I] dated 13/10/2023)

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18115 of 2023
Date :12/10/2023

ED/VRS/SAR- (19/10/2023) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023