



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.19477 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.11.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.19477 of 2023

1. Veeralakshmi
2. Nagarajan
3. Balamurugan

... Petitioners

Vs.

- 1.The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Koodal Pudur Police Station,
Madurai District
(Crime No.533 of 2015)

- 2.Veera Devi Priya

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining to the case registered in impugned charge sheet in C.C No. 60 of 2018 on the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila court, Madurai in connection with Crime No. 533 of 2015 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police and Quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.19477 of 2023

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Arun
For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)
For R2 :Mr.S.Pradeep

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to call for the records pertaining to the case registered in impugned charge sheet in C.C No. 60 of 2018 on the file of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila court, Madurai in connection with Crime No. 533 of 2015 on the file of the 1st Respondent Police and quash the same.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.533 of 2015 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 of IPC, against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.19477 of 2023

of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 23.11.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in C.C.No.60 of 2018 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila court, Madurai, is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.19477 of 2023

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in C.C.No.60 of 2018 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila court, Madurai, is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

27.11.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

pnn

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila court, Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Koodal Pudur Police Station,
Madurai District
(Crime No.533 of 2015)
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.19477 of 2023

G.ILANGO VAN,J.

pnn

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.19477of 2023

27.11.2023