



CRL OP(MD)No.18108 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11.10.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.18108 of 2023

1.Anand

2.Raja @ Sengole Raja

3.Prema

... Petitioners / Accused No.1 to 3

Vs

The State by

The Inspector of Police,

Thondi Police Station,

Ramanathapuram District.

(Crime No.228 of 2023)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.N.Adithya Vijayalayan, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.228 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 & 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of



CRL OP(MD)No.18108 of 2023

TNPHW Act in Crime No.228 of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's husband and 2nd & 3rd petitioners are siblings. Her marriage is love marriage and hence, the petitioners are not in a good terms with the family of the defacto complainant. On 29.09.2023, the defacto complainant and her husband went to Ettukudi for worshipping their family god. At that time, the petitioners abused the them in filthy language and also attacked her. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

5.On perusal of records, it is noticed that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are family members and it is also noticed that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is



CRL OP(MD)No.18108 of 2023

WEB COPY

seen that the offences alleged as against the petitioners are not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioners are having permanent residents at Ramanathapuram District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb Impression in



CRL OP(MD)No.18108 of 2023

WEB COPY

the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
11/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS



CRL OP(MD)No.18108 of 2023

To தலைவர் அவர்கள்

WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Thiruvadanai.

2.Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Thondi Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1CC to M/s.D.VENKATESH, Advocate, SR-15090(I), dated 12.10.2023

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18108 of 2023

Date :11/10/2023

ED/VRS/SAR- (19/10/2023) 5P / 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023