



CRL OP(MD)No.18071 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21.11.2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble MR JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD)No.18071 of 2023

R.Pandi

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Rajapalayam South Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.403 of 2023)

... Respondent/ Complainant

Parvanakani

...Petitioner/Defacto Complainant
in Crl MP(MD)No. 14943 /2023

For Petitioner : Mr.R.J.Karthick,Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.R.Suresh Kumar,
Government Advocate(Crl.side)

For Intervenor : Mr.M.Jothi Basu,Advocate
in Crl MP(MD)No. 14943 /2023

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.403 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.



CRL OP(MD)No.18071 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) IPC in Crime No.403 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that A2 demanded Rs.45,000/- from the defacto complainant to meet out the family needs and debts. For which the defacto complainant gave his five sovereigns gold jewels to pledge the same and meet out the debts. But he failed to return the jewels within the said period of two months. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the investigation in this case is not yet completed.

5.On perusal of records, it is noticed that there is no allegations as against the



CRL OP(MD)No.18071 of 2023

petitioner herein and he has not received any money or gold jewels from the defacto complainant.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of heinous crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residence at Virudhunagar District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of



CRL OP(MD)No.18071 of 2023

arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rajapalayam on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall affix his photograph and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure his identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD)No.18071 of 2023

WEB COPY

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
21/11/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

GNS

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAJAPALAYAM.
- 2 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT @ SRIVILLIPUTHUR.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
RAJAPALAIYAM SOUTH POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD)No.18071 of 2023

WEB COPY

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.J.KARTHICK, Advocate (SR-16599[I] dated 21/11/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.18071 of 2023
Date :21/11/2023

PKP/JGB/SAR- /21.12.2023/ 6P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023