



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20957 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 20.12.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.20957 of 2022

1. Lalith @ Lalithkumar
2. Kishore @ Kishorekumar
3. Arun @ Bharath ... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Jeeyapuram,
Trichy District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Somarasampettai Police Station,
Trichy District.
Crime No.183 of 2022. ... Respondents No.1 and 2 /Complainants
3. Praveen ... 3rd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to Crime No.183 of 2022, dated 28.05.2022 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Somarasampettai Police, Trichy District and quash the same in respect of Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20957 of 2022

For Petitioners : Mr. Haroon Rasheed D S
For R1 and R2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R3 : Mr.A.Balaji

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.183 of 2022 on the file of the 2nd respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 3rd respondent, the 2nd respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.183 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC r/w. Sections 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) and 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (PoA) Act, 1989, against the petitioners. There is no compensation amount received by the defacto complainant from the Government.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) also verified that no compensation is received by the defacto complainant from the Government.



4.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 3rd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 3rd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

5.The petitioners and the 3rd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

6. Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 28.11.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.183 of 2022 pending on the file of the 2nd respondent Police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20957 of 2022

7. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.183 of 2022, pending on the file of the 2nd respondent Police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

20.12.2023

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
Internet :Yes/No
Indu

To

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Jeeyapuram,
Trichy District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Somarasampettai Police Station,
Trichy District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20957 of 2022

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

Indu

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.20957 of 2022

20.12.2023