



CRL OP(MD). No.18016 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 05/12/2023

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.18016 of 2023

1. Alagarsamy
2. Aruna
3. Shanthi Jeyaseelan

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1, 2 & 4

Vs

The Inspector of Police,  
Keelrajakularaman Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.  
Crime No. 110 of 2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Karunanidhi, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Sureshkumar, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.110 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1, A2 and A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 294(b) and 506

(i) IPC in Crime No.110 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory



CRL OP(MD). No.18016 of 2023

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners have received a sum of Rs.20,000/- from the defacto complainant by giving assurance that they will arrange job at abroad to the defacto complainant. After receiving money, the job was not arranged. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are the innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that they petitioners are ready to deposit the said sum of Rs.20,000/-. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) appearing for the respondent police has strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Heard both sides and perused the materials available on the record.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994)4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origin of crime, it is seen that the alleged offence against the




CRL OP(MD). No.18016 of 2023

WEB COPY

petitioners is not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioners are having permanent resident at Virudhunagar District. Hence, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 are taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not to be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Rajapalayam, Virudhunagar District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioners shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank



CRL OP(MD). No.18016 of 2023

Pass Book to ensure their identity.

WEB COPY

[b] the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) before the Magistrate Court and the learned Magistrate is directed to give the said amount to the defacto complainant without notice to the petitioners.

[c] the petitioners shall report before the trial Court on summons.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-  
05/12/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/12/2023

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.18016 of 2023

TO

WEB COPY

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, RAJAPALAYAM, VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTTUR.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KEELRAJAKULARAMAN POLICE STATION,  
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.KARUNANIDHI, Advocate ( SR-17319[I] dated 06/12/2023 )

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.18016 of 2023

Date :05/12/2023

RS//SAR-(11.12.2023) 5P 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing  
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023