



CRL OP(MD). No.17882 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.17882 of 2023

Sureshababu,

... Petitioner/ Accused Rank Not Known

Vs

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thogur Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
In Cr.No.105/2023.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Tamilzharasan N,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.M.S.Sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.105/2023 on the file of the Respondent police.
ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ Accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent



CRL OP(MD). No.17882 of 2023

police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323,342,307 of IPC in Crime

WEB COPY

No.105 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel the petitioner herein along with other accused came to the occurrence place with wooden log and attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries, hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against him. He would further submit that the petitioner has not attacked the victim and only other persons has attacked the victim ,hence he seeks anticipatory to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that due to wordy quarrel the petitioner herein along with other accused came to the occurrence place with wooden log and attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries,hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. It is noticed that due to wordy quarrel the petitioner herein along with other accused came to the occurrence place with wooden log and attacked the defacto



CRL OP(MD). No.17882 of 2023

WEB COPY
petitioner

complainant and caused injuries but the defacto complainant has not identified the

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamlendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of henious crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residents at Thanjavur District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of



CRL OP(MD). No.17882 of 2023

WEB COPY

arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvaiyaru on condition that the petitioner shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court as and when required for the purpose of interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].



CRL OP(MD). No.17882 of 2023

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
13/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

AAV

TO

1 The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvaiyaru.

2 Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Thanjavur District at Kumbakonam.

3 The Inspector of Police,
Thogur Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

4 The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17882 of 2023
Date :13/10/2023

SSA/JGB/17.10.2023/5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023