



HCP(MD)No.1906 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 14.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.1906 of 2022

Radhika

.. Petitioner /wife
of the detenu

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu rep. by its
Principal Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
Perambalur District
Perambalur.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records relating to the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent in his proceedings Cr.M.P.No.38/2022 dated



HCP(MD)No.1906 of 2022

12.10.2022 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the person or body of the detenu namely Manikandan, S/o.Sivasamy aged 34 years, now detained at Central Prison, Trichy before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Anandakumar

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Manikandan, aged about 34 years, S/o.Sivasamy. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in detention order in Cr.M.P.No.38/2022 dated 12.10.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.



HCP(MD)No.1906 of 2022

WEB COPY

3. Among other grounds, the detention order is mainly attacked on the ground that there is a delay in passing the order of detention. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner strongly contended that though the detenu was arrested on 29.08.2022, the detention order was passed only on 12.10.2022 i.e., after a considerable delay of more than 40 days. Therefore, the detention order has to be set aside.

4. As seen from the grounds of detention, it is clear that though the detenu was arrested on 29.08.2022, the order of detention came to be passed only on 12.10.2022 and hence, there is an abnormal delay in passing the order of Detention, which caused prejudice to the interest of the detenu.

5. We have gone through the entire materials placed on record. There is no satisfactory explanation offered by the Detaining Authority for the delay in passing the order of detention. Hence the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.



HCP(MD)No.1906 of 2022

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Cr.M.P.No.38/2022 dated 12.10.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Manikandan S/o.Sivasamy, aged about 34 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
14.07.2023

NCC : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
RR

To

- 1.The Principal Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
Perambalur District
Perambalur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1906 of 2022

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.1906 of 2022

14.07.2023