



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20114 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: **01.03.2023**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.20114 of 2022

1.Chidambara Vignesh

2.Senthuramalingam

3.Sethalakshmi

4.Manolakshmi

5.Vigneshwar

... Petitioners / Accused Nos.1 to 5

Vs.

1.The State, represented by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Tenkasi,
Tenkasi District.
(Crime No.9 of 2022)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.S.Balasundari

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records in Crime No.9 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20114 of 2022

For Petitioners : Mr. Saravanakumar.C
For R1 : Mr. Sakthikumar.M
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R2 : Mr. Palanivelayutham.S

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.9 of 2022 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.9 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A), 406 of IPC and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20114 of 2022

were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 14.02.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.9 of 2022 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.9 of 2022, pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

01.03.2023

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
Internet :Yes/No

Indu



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20114 of 2022

G.ILANGOVA. J.

Indu

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Karaikudi,
Sivagangai District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.20114 of 2022

01.03.2023