



CRL OP(MD). No.17323 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.17323 of 2023

Ayannar,

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

**State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvengadam Police Station,
Tenkasi District,
Crime No.153/2023.**

... Respondent/Complainant

Murugan

**...Petitioner/Defacto Complainant
in Crl MP(MD)No. 14429 of 2023**

For Petitioner : M/s.Sankar.K,Advocate.

**For Respondent : Mr.RMS.sethuraman,
Additional Public Prosecutor**

**For Intervenor : Mr. K.Prabhu,Advocate
in Crl MP(MD)No. 14429 of 2023**

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.153/2023 on the file of the respondent police



CRL OP(MD). No.17323 of 2023

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 420, 294(b) and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.153 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to money dispute between the partners of the business, petitioner herein abused the defacto complainant and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is no way connected with the offence and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor submitted that the petitioner mis-appropriated a sum of Rs.2,25,000/- belonging to the partnership firm.

5.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner has mis-appropriated the amount belonging to the partnership firm and that the petitioner herein threatened the defacto complainant with criminal elements.

6. On perusal of the FIR, it is seen that it is a case of money dispute between the partners of the business.

7.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme



CRL OP(MD). No.17323 of 2023

Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260, this Court is of the considered view that the alleged offence against the petitioner are not a case of heinous crime. Further, the petitioner is having permanent resident at Thirunelveli District and the origin of the crime is money dispute. In this circumstances, the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused have their roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, they can safely be released on his own bond.

8. In view of the above, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankoil on condition that the petitioner shall execute own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who



CRL OP(MD). No.17323 of 2023

intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] The petitioner shall appear before the trial Court on receiving summons as directed by the trial Court.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
11/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.17323 of 2023

WEB COPY

TRP
TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SANKARANKOIL.
- 2 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUVENGADAM POLICE STATION,
TENKASI DISTRICT,
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17323 of 2023
Date :11/10/2023

PKP/VRS/SAR- /30.10.2023/ 5P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023