



CRL OP(MD). No.17275 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 13/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). No.17275 of 2023

A Chitra Prasanna,

... Petitioner/ Accused No.2

Vs

1. State Rep.by

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

...Respondent/ Complainant

2. Muthumeenal,

(R2 is Suo-Motu Impleaded as Per
Order of the Court dated 26/09/2023
in Crl.OP(MD)No.17275 of 2023).

...2nd Respondent

For Petitioner : M/s.Benazir Begum.M, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.Aasha,

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

To enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime no.21 of 2021 in C.C.No.347 of 2023 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi.



CRL OP(MD). No.17275 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/ A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 498(A),294(b) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act and Section 4 of D.P.Act in Crime No.21 of 2021(C.C.No.347 of 2023) on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused demanded more dowry and harassed the defacto complainant. hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against him,hence he seeks anticipatory to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that all the accused persons demanded more dowry and harassed the defacto complainant and also caused cruelty, hence he objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. It is seen that it is a matrimonial dispute between the parties and in the mediation for the past two hearing the defacto complainant has not appeared and it is pending before mediation.

6.Taking into consideration the principles stated by the Honourable Supreme



CRL OP(MD). No.17275 of 2023

Court in Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia Etc., vs. State of Punjab reported in 1980 AIR 1632 and Lal Kamendra Pratap Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others reported in (2009)4 SCC 437 and Joginder Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others reported in (1994) 4 SCC 260 and taking into consideration the origine of crime, it is seen that the offence alleged as against the petitioner is not a case of henious crime. Further the petitioner is having permanent residents at Ramanathapuram District. Hence the principles stated in Hussainara Khatoon & Ors vs. Home Secretary, State Of Bihar reported in AIR 1979 SC 1360 is taken into consideration. In that case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has cautioned that pre-trial detention is not be encouraged nor is to be encourageable pre-trial release on sureties; that if the Court is satisfied after taking into consideration that the accused has his roots in the community and is not likely to abscond, he can safely be released on his personal bond.

7.In view of the above, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi on condition that the petitioners shall execute a own bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate



CRL OP(MD). No.17275 of 2023

concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that :

[a] the petitioner shall report before the trial Court as and when required for the purpose of interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
13/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.17275 of 2023

AAV
TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PARAMAKUDI.
- 2 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, PARAMAKUDI,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.M.BENAZIR BEGUM, Advocate (SR-15167[I] dated 13/10/2023)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.17275 of 2023
Date :13/10/2023

PKP/DD/SAR- /18.10.2023/ 5P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023