



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 07.11.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P. (MD) No.1192 of 2023

Angel

.. Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Office of the District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
North Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus calling for the records relating to the detention order passed by the second respondent pertaining to the order made in H.S(M) Confdl.No.68/2023 dated 12.05.2023 and quash the same



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

and direct the respondent to produce the body or person of the petitioner's husband namely Chandran, son of Sekar aged about 20 years who is detained at Central Prison, Palayamkottai before this Honble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Karunanidhi

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of brevity, convenience and clarity] was listed in the Admission Board before us on 05.10.2023 and we made the following order:

1215
H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.
and
R.KALAIMATHI, J.

ORDER
[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.**]

Captioned Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed in this Court on 23.09.2023 *inter alia* assailing a 'detention order dated 12.05.2023, bearing H.S.(M) Confid.No.68/2023 [hereinafter 'impugned preventive detention order' for the sake of convenience, clarity and brevity] made by 'second respondent' [hereinafter 'Detaining Authority' for the sake of convenience]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the Sponsoring Authority.

2. To be noted, wife of the detenu is the petitioner.

3. Mr.R.Karunanidhi, learned counsel on record for petitioner is before us. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that ground case *qua* the detenu is for alleged offences under Sections 147, 148, 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 307 and 506(i) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act 45 of 1946).



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

1860Y [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of brevity], in Crime No.118 of 2023 on the file of Theothukudi North Police Station.

4. The aforementioned impugned preventive detention order has been made on the premise that the detainee is a 'Goonda' under Section 2(f) of 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Stun-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity].

5. The impugned preventive detention order has been assailed *inter alia* on the ground that 'live and proximate link between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention' has snapped as the detainee was arrested on 16.04.2023 but the impugned preventive detention order has been passed on 12.05.2023.

6. *Prima facie* case made out for admission. Admit. Issue *Rule nisi* returnable by four [4] weeks.

24

H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

7. Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, State of Tamil Nadu accepts notice for all respondents. List the captioned Habeas Corpus Petition accordingly.

[M.S., J.] & [R.K.M., J.]
05.10.2023

25th

2. The aforementioned Admission Board order captures all essentials that are imperative for appreciating this order and we would plunge into the legal drill of testing the impugned preventive detention order in the habeas legal drill on hand. Suffice to say that aforementioned Admission Board order dated 05.10.2023 shall now be read as an integral part and parcel of this final order. It means that the short forms, short references and



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

abbreviations used in the Admission Board order will continue to be used in the instant final order also.

3. Mr.R.Karunanidhi, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

4. In the final hearing today, learned counsel predicated his campaign against impugned preventive detention order on the point that the initial remand order qua ground case has been relied on by the detaining authority in the grounds of impugned preventive detention order but the same has not been furnished to the detenu causing infraction of constitutional right ingrained in Article 22(5) of the Constitution of India.

5. Elaborating on the aforementioned point, learned counsel drew our attention to a portion of paragraph No.8 of the grounds of impugned preventive detention order which reads as follows:

'.....8. I am aware that the accused Chandran was arrested in the ground case on 16.04.2023 and produced



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

*before the Court of Judicial Magistrate No.111., Thoothukudi
on the same day and forwarded to judicial custody up to
28.04.2023 and lodged in Thoothukudi District Jail at
Perurani.....'*

Learned counsel submitted that the detaining authority has relied on the initial remand on 16.04.2023 but the same has not been furnished to the detenu as part of the grounds booklet.

6. In response to the aforementioned argument, learned Prosecutor submitted that the order extending the remand after the initial order of remand has been furnished to the detenu.

7. We carefully considered the rival submissions. In considering the rival submissions, we find that a similar/same point was dealt with by us in ***R.Selvi Vs. The Additional Cheif Secretary to Government and others*** reported in **2023/MHC/4778** (Neutral Citation of this Court i.e., Madras High Court).



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

8. A scanned reproduction of *Selvi's* case as reported in 2023/MHC/4778 is as follows:

2023/MHC/4778

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATE: 18.10.2023

Coram

THE HONBLE MR JUSTICE MSUNDAR
and
THE HONBLE MR JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

H.C.P(MD)No.1192 of 2023

R.Selvi - Petitioner

vs

1 State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 600 009

2 The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Theotinkudi District,
Theotinkudi.

3 The Superintendent of Prisons,
Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tamilnadu.

- Respondents

Prayer: - Petitioner filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of Habeas Corpus asking for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in H.S.(M)Confil No.75/2023 dated 14.06.2023 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu

2023/MHC/1192 of 2023

Jointly the petitioner's husband i.e., Ramesh (i) 2GB Ramesh aged about 28 years, S/o.Ganesan, now detained at the Central Prison, Palayamkottai, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith

For Petitioner: - Mr.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents: - Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kurian
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by MSUNDAR, J.]

Captioned Habeas Corpus Petition [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by the wife of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order' dated 14.06.2023 bearing H.S.(M)Confil No.75 of 2023 [hereinafter 'impugned preventive detention order' for the sake of brevity and convenience]. To be noted, sponsoring authority has not been arrayed as a respondent but we find that Station House Officer of Marthiampalam Police Station is the sponsoring authority [hereinafter 'Sponsoring Authority' for the sake of convenience and clarity] and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned preventive detention order has been made by second respondent.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

 H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

2. Impugned preventive detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Storm-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detainee is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(c) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There are four adverse cases and one ground case. The ground case which constitutes substantial part of 'substantum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.114 of 2023 on the file of Marthapuram Police Station for the alleged offenders under Sections 294(b), 307 and 508(ii) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Considering the nature of the challenge to the impugned preventive detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix of the case.

4. Mr.N.Pagalathan, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

 H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

5. Captioned HCP was listed in the Admission Board before another Writ Court Coordinate Hon'ble Division Bench on 01.09.2023 and an order was made in the Admission Board and a scanned reproduction of the same is as follows:



6. Though very many points have been raised in the support affidavit (an captioned HCP, learned counsel for HCP petitioner drew our attention to a portion of paragraph 3 of the grounds of impugned preventive detention



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023



order, which reads as follows:

WEB COPY

3..... The offence committed by the accused Ramiah @ JGB Ramiah under Sections 294(b), 307 and 506(ii) IPC relating to abusive and filthy language in a public place, attempt to murder and criminal intimidation were punishable under Chapters XIV, XVI and XXII of the Indian Penal Code. He was produced before the Court of Judicial Magistrate No.11, Thoothukudi on 19.05.2023 and forwarded to judicial custody upon 03.06.2023 and lodged in Thoothukudi District Jail at Peravur. Further his remand was extended up to 22.06.2023. The case is under investigation. "

7. Adverting to the aforementioned portion, learned counsel for HCP petitioner submitted that the Detaining Authority has recorded the fact that the detenu was produced before Judicial Magistrate H, Thoothukudi. Though the Detaining Authority has relied on this remand aspect of the matter qua ground case in the impugned preventive detention order, the copy of the remand order has not been furnished to detenu. Learned counsel also submits that the detenu has sent a representation dated 25.08.2023 specifically requesting for the remand order but the same has not been furnished.



H.C.P.(MD) No.1100 of 2023

WEB COPY

8. In response to the aforementioned argument of learned counsel for HCP petitioner, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor submitted to the contrary. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the remand order has been furnished. This means that reference of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor is to the order of extension up to 22.06.2023. This means that the original remand order remanding the detenu up to 03.06.2023 has not been furnished to the detenu. This in effect means that the right of the detenu to make an effective representation has been impaired. This Court has repeatedly held in a long line of authorities that right of the detenu to make an effective representation qua an impugned preventive detention order is a constitutional safeguard which has been ingrained in Clause (5) of Article 22 of Constitution of India and this Court has also held that impairment of constitutional right which is so sanctus that it vitiates an impugned preventive detention order. This is one such case where the right of the detenu to make an effective representation has been impaired vitiating the impugned preventive detention order and leaving it vulnerable for being dislodged in this habeas legal drill on hand.

9. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 14.06.2023 bearing H.S.(M)Confdl No.75



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

W.C.P.(MD) No. 1192 of 2023

of 2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu

WITH C Thiru,Ramesh @ 2GB Ramesh, aged 28 years, son of Thiru Ganesan, is

directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any

other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (R.S.V.,J.)
18.10.2023

Index : Yes
Neutral Citation : Yes
vsn

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in
Central Prison, Palayamkottai.

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

Paragraphs 6 to 8 in *Selvi's* case constitute the crux and gravamen of the issue in the legal drill on hand.

9. In the light of *Selvi's* case principle, we have no hesitation in sustaining the submission of learned counsel for HCP petitioner that the sanctus constitutional right of the detenu which has been ingrained in Article 22(5) by way of a Constitutional safeguard has been breached.



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

10. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 12.05.2023 bearing reference H.S(M) Confdl.No.68/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Chandran, male, aged 20 years, son of Thiru.Sekar is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(R.S.V.,J.)

07.11.2023

Index : Yes/No

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

PKN

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Palayamkottai. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Office of the District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai.
4. The Inspector of Police,
North Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.
6. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law and Order) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.,**

PKN

H.C.P.(MD) No.1192 of 2023

07.11.2023

Page Nos.12/12