



HCP(MD)No.1835 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 10.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.1835 of 2022

Mahesh

.. Petitioner /friend
of the Detenu

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu

Represented by its Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to
issue a writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the entire records leading to the
detention of the petitioner's friend namely Anthonyraj S/o.Dhanaraj, aged



HCP(MD)No.1835 of 2022

about 21 years vide detention order dated 05.09.2022 made in No.HS(M) Confdl. No.190/2022 by the 2nd respondent and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to produce the body or person of the detenu now detained at Central Prison, Palayamkottai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vishnuvardhanan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH,J.)

The petitioner is the friend of the detenu viz., Anthonyraj, S/o. Dhanaraj, aged about 21 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in H.S.(M)Confdl.No.190/2022 dated 05.09.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



HCP(MD)No.1835 of 2022

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the copy of the initial remand order has not been furnished to the detenu, despite the same has been sought for by the petitioner in his representation. Therefore, on the sole ground, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. It is submitted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondents that upon completion of the investigation, charge sheet has been filed and the same is pending before the I Additional District Judge, Tuticorin in SC No.43/2022.



HCP(MD)No.1835 of 2022

5. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record, especially, the representation made by the petitioner dated 10.10.2022, he had sought for the initial remand order, however, the same has not been furnished to the detenu, which vitiates the order of detention.

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in H.S.(M)Confdl.No.190/2022 dated 05.09.2022 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Anthonyraj S/o.Dhanaraj, aged about 21 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
10.07.2023

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
RR



HCP(MD)No.1835 of 2022

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.
3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai,
Tirunelveli.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1835 of 2022

M.S.RAMESH,J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.1835 of 2022

10.07.2023