



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.20071 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 30.06.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.ILANGO VAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.20071 of 2022

Mr. P. Silambarasan (alias) Parthiban Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
Rep by State,
All Women Police Station,
Dindigul District

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Aarthi ... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant.

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, to pass an order to call for the entire records in pertaining to C.C.No.876 of 2022 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Dindigul West, Dindigul and quash the same. (*Prayer amended as per the order passed by this Court dated 30.06.2023 in Crl.M.P. (MD).No.9286 of 2023*)

For Petitioner : Mr.G. Mutharasu

For Respondents : Mr.S.Manikandan for R1
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
Mr. S. Pandiyaraj for R2



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.20071 of 2022

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in C.C.No.876 of 2022 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Dindigul West, Dindigul.

2.The contention of the petitioner is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.14 of 2022 for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 498(A), 494 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, 2002, against the petitioner.

3.The further contention of the petitioner is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioner and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioner and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.20071 of 2022

Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. The husband and wife are agreed to reunion.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and also in view of the joint compromise memo, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash all further proceedings in C.C.No.876 of 2022 pending on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Dindigul West, Dindigul in respect of the petitioner.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in C.C.No.876 of 2022, pending on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Dindigul West, Dindigul is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioner alone. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

30.06.2023



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.20071 of 2022

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
TM

To

- 1.The Judge, Additional Mahila Court, Dindigul West, Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Dindigul District
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD).No.20071 of 2022

G.ILANGOVAN,J.

TM

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.20071 of 2022

30.06.2023