



W.P.(MD)No. 8356 of 2016

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.02.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P.(MD)No. 8356 of 2016

and

W.M.P(MD)Nos.6760 & 6761 of 2016

J. Sirumani Nayagam

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector/The Chairman,
District Rural Urban Agency,
Trichirapalli – District.

2. The Project Officer,
Mid Day Meals Scheme,
District Rural Urban Agency,
Thiruchirapalli – District.

3. The Commissioner,
Marungapuri Panchayat Union,
Thiruchirapalli – District.

4. Saraswathi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of *Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus*, to call for the record of the 3rd respondent in Na.Ka.No.AA5/0225/2016 dated 02.02.2016, by canceling the



W.P.(MD)No. 8356 of 2016

WEB COPY

appointed order issued in favors of the Mrs.Saraswathi, consequently directing the respondent to appoint the petitioner for the post of mid-day meal organizer at Kezhivalpatti Panchayat Union Primary School, Marungapuri Union, by considering the petitioner's representation, dated on 04.03.2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sudhakar
For R-1 to R-3 : Mr.P.Thambidurai,
Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition is filed challenging the appointment order, dated 02.02.2016, issued to the 4th respondent.

2. Heard Mr.S.Sudhakar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.P.Thambidurai, learned Government Advocate, appearing for the respondents 1 & 2. Perused the material documents available on records.

3. This writ is filed challenging the appointment order, dated 02.02.2016 issued to the 4th respondent.



W.P.(MD)No. 8356 of 2016

WEB COPY

4. The petitioner has participated in the selection process. However, the petitioner's candidature was rejected, since the petitioner's annual income is Rs.48,000/-, whereas the 4th respondent is Rs.36,000/-. Since the 4th respondent is more deserving, the respondents have decided to give an appointment to the 4th respondent.

5. This Court is convinced about the reason for selecting the 4th respondent. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed. No Costs.

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
ksa

22.02.2023
[6/6]



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No. 8356 of 2016

To

1. The District Collector/The Chairman,
District Rural Urban Agency,
Trichirapalli – District.
2. The Project Officer,
Mid Day Meals Scheme,
District Rural Urban Agency,
Thiruchirapalli – District.
3. The Commissioner,
Marungapuri Panchayat Union,
Thiruchirapalli – District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No. 8356 of 2016

S.SRIMATHY, J

ksa

**Order made in
W.P.(MD)No. 8356 of 2016
[6/6]**

22.02.2023