



W.P.(MD)No.386 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 09.02.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P.(MD)No.386 of 2016
and
W.M.P.(MD)No.314 of 2016

K.A.Sickander Dul Karnine

... Petitioner

versus

1. The District Registrar
of Societies Registration,
North Chennai,
Rajaji Salai,
Chennai – 600 001.

2. The Secretary,
Abiramam Educational Trust,
1/2, Eeman Bath Style, TVK Link Road,
K.M.Nagar, Meenambal Salai,
Chennai – 600 118.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
seeking for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, to direct the first
respondent to be the observer or to appoint an observer on behalf of



W.P.(MD)No.386 of 2016

him with a direction to the 2nd respondent to conduct the election at Abiramam by following the provisions of the Tamilnadu Societies Registration Act, democratic principles of election and the bye laws in force, submitted by the Abiramam Educational Trust on registration incorporated under Act XXI of 1860 passed by the General Body dated 09.10.1955, preventing the violation of the bye law of the trust.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For R1 : Mr.T.Vilavankothai,
Additional Government Pleader

For R2 : Mr.C.Jeganathan

ORDER

When the writ petition was taken up for hearing on 08.02.2023, there was no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, the writ petition was directed to be listed under the caption “For Dismissal” today. Even today, there is no representation for the petitioner.

2. This writ petition is filed for a Mandamus, directing the first respondent to be an observer or to appoint an observer on behalf of him with a direction to the 2nd respondent to conduct an election at



W.P.(MD)No.386 of 2016

Abiramam by following the provisions of the Tamilnadu Societies Registration Act, for the Abiramam Educational Trust.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent submits that the election was already over and the Form-7 filed by the elected bodies has also been approved by the first respondent. He has also circulated the approved copy of Form-7.

4. Recording the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent, this writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

09.02.2023

ogy

NCC : Yes / No.

Index : Yes / No.

Internet : Yes / No.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.386 of 2016

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

ogy

To

1. The District Registrar
of Societies Registration,
North Chennai,
Rajaji Salai,
Chennai – 600 001.
2. The Secretary,
Abiramam Educational Trust,
1/2, Eeman Bath Style, TVK Link Road,
K.M.Nagar, Meenambal Salai,
Chennai – 600 118.

W.P.(MD)No.386 of 2016

09.02.2023