



in CrI.O.P(MD).No.16703/23

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 04/10/2023

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice V. SIVAGNANAM

CRL OP(MD). Nos.16703 and 16953 of 2023

Palpandi

... Petitioner/ Accused No.2
in CrI.O.P(MD).No.16703/23

M.Sarankumar

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1
in CrI.O.P(MD).No.16953/23

Vs

The State rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Theni District.
(Crime No.30 of 2023).

... Respondent/Complainant
in both cases

For Petitioner : M/s.Mohan.A,
Advocate.
(in CrI.O.P(MD).No.16703/23)

For Petitioner : MR.MANICKAM.R, Advocate
(in CrI.O.P(MD).No.16953/23)

For Respondent : Mr.RMS.Sethuraman
Additional Public Prosecutor
(in both cases)



in CrI.O.P(MD).No.16703/23

WEB COPY

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

COMMON PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no.30 of 2023 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/accused who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 417, 420, 120(B), 468 and 471 of I.P.C., in Crime No.30 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on promising to get a private or Government job to the defacto complainant, the petitioners have received a sum of Rs.26,97,000/- and subsequently, A1 had issued a forged appointment order in favour of the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and their names have been falsely implicated in this case.



in CrI.O.P(MD).No.16703/23

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the the petitioners have received a sum of Rs.8,00,000/- from the defacto complainant on promising to get a job and subsequently, cheated him and the investigation of the case is pending and hence, he strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the serious nature of allegations made against the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions are dismissed.

sd/-
04/10/2023

/ TRUE COPY /

/10/2023
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

trp

TO

1.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Theni District.



in Crl.O.P(MD).No.16703/23

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). Nos.16703 and 16953 of 2023
Date :04/10/2023

RK /DD(10/10/2023) 4P / 3C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023