



WEB COPY



WP(MD)No.2673 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated: 13.02.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **B.PUGALENDHI**

WP(MD)No.2673 of 2016

Pavithra

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The District Collector,
Theni District, Theni.

2.The Tahsildar,
Arasu Cable TV Corporation Ltd (ACTCL),
Periyakulam, Theni District.

3.The Municipal Commissioner,
Periyakulam Municipality,
Periyakulam,Theni District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 17.12.2015

For Petitioner : no representation

For Respondents : Mr.J.K.Jeyaseelan,

Government Advocate



WP(MD)No.2673 of 2016

ORDER

WEB COPY

When the writ petition was taken up for hearing on 10.02.2023 there was no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, the case was directed to be listed on 13.02.2023 under the caption for dismissal.

2. Accordingly this writ petition is listed under the caption 'for dismissal' today. When the case was taken up for hearing in the morning there was no representation and it was passed over. Even when the case is called in the after noon for the second time, there is no representation for the petitioner, despite the case is listed under the caption for dismissal. Therefore, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

13.02.2023

Internet : Yes / No

dsk



WP (MD) No.2673 of 2016

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Theni District,
Theni.
- 2.The Tahsildar,
Arasu Cable TV Corporation Ltd (ACTCL),
Periyakulam,
Theni District.
- 3.The Municipal Commissioner,
Periyakulam Municipality,
Periyakulam,
Theni District.



WEB COPY



WP (MD) No.2673 of 2016

B. PUGALENDHI, J.

dsk

WP (MD) No.2673 of 2016

13.02.2023