



WP(MD)No.2492 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 21.02.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.2492 of 2016

V.Murugesan

... Petitioner

v.

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Aruppukottai,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Kariapatti Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.

3.A.Vellaichamy

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the second respondent to issue separate patta to the petitioner by cancelling the Joint Patta in Patta No. 2260 for the land comprised in Old S.No.202/8 to an extent of 46 cents in Mallankinar Village, Kariapatti Taluk, Virudhunagar District, by considering the petitioner's representations dated 06.02.2013, 09.11.2013 & 09.02.2015 within a stipulated time limit.



WP(MD)No.2492 of 2016

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Thalaimutharasu

For Respondents : Mr.J.K.Jeyaseelan,
Government Advocate
for R.1, R.2

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this writ petition for a mandamus directing the second respondent / Tahsildar to issue separate patta to him by cancelling the joint patta in Patta No.2260 for the land comprised in Old S.No.202/8 to an extent of 46 cents in Mallankinar Village, Kariapatti Taluk, Virudhunagar District, by considering his representations dated 06.02.2013, 09.11.2013 & 09.02.2015.

2.The case of the petitioner is that the third respondent's name has been wrongly noted in the records at the time of UDR survey.

3.Learned Government Advocate, on instructions, submitted that the authority to correct the entries made during UDR survey is the District Revenue Officer.



WP(MD)No.2492 of 2016

WEB COPY

4. In view of the above, this writ petition stands disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to submit a fresh representation before the District Revenue Officer, Virudhunagar District, along with documents, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such representation, the District Revenue Officer shall take a decision, on merits and in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the third respondent and other interested parties, if any, within a further period of six months thereafter. There shall be no order as to costs.

Index : Yes / No

21.02.2023

Internet : Yes / No

gk

Note:

Mark a copy of this order to
The District Revenue Officer,
Virudhunagar.

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Aruppukottai,
Virudhunagar District.

3 / 4



WEB COPY

2.The Tahsildar,
Kariapatti Taluk,
Virudhunagar District.



WP(MD)No.2492 of 2016

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

gk

WP(MD)No.2492 of 2016

21.02.2023