



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.18223 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED:07.12.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGOVAN**

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.18223 of 2023

1.Manikandan

2.Kannan

3.Balamurugan

4.Duraipandi @ Pandidurai

5.Karthik @ Karthi

6.Sakthivel

7.Arun @ Arunkumar

8.Sathuragiri

... Petitioners/Accused
Nos.1 to 8

Vs.

1.State Rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(In Crime No.530 of 2019)

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Mookan

...2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant



PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records pertaining to FIR in Crime No.530 of 2019, under Sections 147, 295, 153A of IPC and Section 3(1) of TN Public Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992 registered on 27.10.2019 on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the same as illegal as against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 8.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Sangeetha Sri
For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)
For R2 : Mr.C.Kannathasan

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned FIR in Crime No.530 of 2019 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.530 of 2019 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 295, 153A of IPC and Section 3(1) of TN Public Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992, against the petitioners.



3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 16.10.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in Crime No.530 of 2019 pending on the file of the 1st respondent police is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18223 of 2023

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.530 of 2019, pending on the file of the 1st respondent Police is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

07.12.2023

Index : Yes/No

Internet : Yes/No

Indu

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Batlagundu Police Station,
Dindigul District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.18223 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

Indu

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.18223 of 2023

07.12.2023