



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 13.09.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

Mohamed Saleem

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Regional Passport officer,
Regional Passport Office,
Tiruchirapalli,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillainagar 7th Cross,
Tiruchirapalli.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Mimisal Police Station,
Minisal,
Pudukkottai District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to re-issue passport to the petitioner, based on the petitioner's application, dated 28.06.2023 in File No.TR2075519284123 before the first respondent.



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Prasanna
For R1 : Mr.K.Sankararaman
Central Government Standing Counsel
For R2 : Mr.P.Kottaichamy
Government Advocate

ORDER

The petitioner was issued with a passport on 25.10.2019 and it expires on 24.10.2029. Since the pages in the passport got exhausted, he has applied for re-issuance of passport and the same was not considered by the Passport Authority, on the ground that a First Information Report is pending as against this petitioner.

2.Mr.K.Sankararaman, learned Standing Counsel, who takes notice for the first respondent submits that based on the adverse report from the second respondent, they have not considered the petitioner's application for re-issuance of passport.

3. Mr.P.Kottaichamy, learned Government Advocate, who takes notice for the second respondent submits that the petitioner is involved in a criminal case in Crime No.63 of 2017 on



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

WEB COPY

the file of the Mimisal Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 324, 506(ii), 379 IPC and a Final report has also been filed and it was not taken on file.

4.Pendency of an FIR, by itself, cannot be a ground to refuse the passport. The Passport Authority can refuse the passport and any travel documents under Section 6 of the Passport Act, 1967. Section 6(2)(F) of the Act would be relevant and the same is extracted as under:-

“6.Refusal of passports, travel documents, etc-

...

(2)Subject to the other provisions of this Act, the passport authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds, and on no other ground, namely -

...

(f) that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant are pending before a Criminal Court in India.”



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

WEB COPY

5.The above provision enables the Passport Authority to refuse the passport or travel document to an applicant on the ground that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the applicant is pending before a Criminal Court. Registration of an FIR on the police files, based on a complaint, cannot be construed as a proceedings pending before the Criminal Court.

6.Similarly, unless and until the Court takes cognizance of the final report filed by the Investigative Agency, it cannot be termed as a proceedings pending before the Criminal Court. ***In Re Narsingh Das Tapadia v. Goverdhan Das Partani & Another [(2000) 7 SCC 183]***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as follows:-

“8."Taking cognizance of an offence" by the Court has to be distinguished from the filing of the complaint by the complainant. Taking cognizance would mean the action taken by the court for initiating judicial proceedings against the offender in respect of the offence regarding



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

WEB COPY

which the complaint is filed. Before it can be said that any Magistrate or Court has taken cognizance of an offence it must be shown that he has applied his mind to the facts for the purpose of proceeding further in the matter at the instance of the complainant. If the Magistrate or the Court is shown to have applied the mind not for the purpose of taking action upon the complaint but for taking some other kind of action contemplated under the Code Criminal Procedure such as ordering investigation under Section 156(3) or issuing a search warrant, he cannot be said to have taken cognizance of the offence.”

7.A Division Bench of this Court in ***Arumugam v. Regional Passport Officer, Madurai*** [W.A(MD)No.301 of 2018, dated 27.03.2018], has held as follows:-

“8. ... mere pendency of the criminal proceedings cannot be construed as pendency of the criminal proceedings. The decisions relied on for reaching the said conclusion in the above cited decision, would also disclose that unless cognizance is taken by the concerned Magistrate, it does not amount to pendency of the criminal proceedings. ... ”



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

WEB COPY

8. In fact, the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, has issued a Circular dated 10.10.2019, wherein, the following instruction was issued:-

“5. In view of the above, the following instructions may be adopted while processing the passport applications in respect of those applicants who may have criminal proceedings pending before a criminal court in India:

... (vi) ... It may be noted that mere filing of FIRs and cases under investigation do not come under the purview of Section 6(2)(f) and that criminal proceedings would only be considered pending against an applicant if a case has been registered before any Court of law and the court has taken cognizance of the same.”

Therefore, the Passport Authority is not justified in not deciding the application filed by the petitioner for renewal of passport by referring the criminal case.



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

WEB COPY

9. Ordinarily, the duration of a passport as per Rule 12 of the Passport Rules is for a period of 10 years. In the event if the applicant is facing any criminal proceedings, the passport may be issued by restricting the period.

10. Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed with a direction to the Passport Authority to renew the passport to this petitioner, by considering his application, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

13.09.2023

NCC :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
Internet:Yes
vrn



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Regional Passport officer,
Regional Passport Office,
Tiruchirapalli,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillainagar 7th Cross,
Tiruchirapalli.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Mimisal Police Station,
Minisal,
Pudukkottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

vrn

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.22356 of 2023

13.09.2023