



W.P.(MD)Nos.1841 & 1842 of 2016

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 13.06.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

W.P.(MD)Nos.1841 & 1842 of 2016
and
W.M.P(MD)Nos.1590 & 15911 of 2016

NN 566 Oyikottai Primary
Agricultural Cooperative Bank,
Oyikottai Post, Thiruvadanai,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Petitioner in
W.P.(MD)No.1841of 2016

Pannaikadu Primary Agricultural
Co-operative Bank,
represented by its Secretary,
Pannaikadu.

... Petitioner in
W.P.(MD)No.1842 of 2016

Vs.

The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner
Employees Provident Fund Organization,
Sub-Regional office,
Madurai.

... Respondents in both the Petitions

PRAYER in W.P(MD)No.1841 of 2016: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of *Writ of Certiorari*, order or direction against the order of the respondent in No.TN/MDU/24858/PDC/Circle 5/8F/2015



W.P.(MD)Nos.1841 & 1842 of 2016

WEB COPY

dated 10.11.2015 in the nature of writ calling for the records on the file of the respondent and quash the same.

PRAYER in W.P(MD)No.1842 of 2016: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of *Writ of Certiorari*, order or direction against the order of the respondent in No.TN/MDU/PDC/8F/2015 dated 20.08.2015 in the nature of writ calling for the records on the file of the respondent and quash the same.

In both the Writ Petitions

For Petitioner : Mr.V.O.S.Kalaiselvam

For Respondent : Mr.P.Karthick,
Standing Counsel

COMMON ORDER

Since the issue involved in both the Writ Petitions are similar in nature both the writ petitions are taken up together and disposed of by a common order.

2. The petitioners have filed these writ petitions challenging the impugned orders passed by the respondent under Section 8F of the Employees'



W.P.(MD)Nos.1841 & 1842 of 2016

WEB COPY

Provident Funds And Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952.

3. Today, when the matters are taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent submitted that, in the meanwhile, they have preferred an appeal before the Tribunal and the Tribunal has dismissed the petition. The statement is recorded.

4. Recording the said submission, there is nothing survives for adjudication. Hence, these Writ Petitions are dismissed as infructuous. No Costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes

ksa

13.06.2023



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)Nos.1841 & 1842 of 2016

S.SRIMATHY, J

ksa

**Common Order made in
W.P.(MD)Nos.1841 & 1842 of 2016**

13.06.2023