



WEB COPY

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.16357 of 2023



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **01.12.2023**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.16357 of 2023

1.Muruganantham

2.Gurunathan

3.Balamurugan

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.
2 to 4

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppulani Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Crime No.81 of 2014)

...1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Saravanan

...2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records and quash the charge sheet against these petitioners in C.C.No.296 of 2017 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Senthil Murugan

For R1 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

For R2 :Mr.R.Shenba Raj



ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in C.C.No.296 of 2017 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.81 of 2014 for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 427, 506(ii) and 379(NH) of IPC @ Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 427 and 506(ii) IPC, against the petitioners.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they



accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo, dated 18.10.2023, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending. Hence, all further proceedings in C.C.No.296 of 2017 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, is quashed and the compromise memo is recorded.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in C.C.No.296 of 2017 pending on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram is hereby quashed in respect of the petitioners and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

01.12.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
Indu



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.16357 of 2023

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

Indu

To

- 1.The learned Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Ramanathapuram.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppulani Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.16357 of 2023

01.12.2023