



WP(MD)No.15141 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 21.02.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.15141 of 2016
and
WMP(MD)No.11151 of 2016

R.Ganga Devi

... Petitioner

v.

1.The District Registrar (Admin),
O/o.the District Registrar,
Ariyalur Registration District.

2.Venugopal

3.Dileeban Krishna

4.Jeyantha

5.Narmadha

6.Saravanan

7.Vidhya

8.Ramesh

9.Krishnakumar

... Respondents

1 / 5



WP(MD)No.15141 of 2016

WEB COPY

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 05.07.2016 addressed to the District Registrar (Admin), Trichy, returned and transferred by him to the first respondent by communication dated 15.07.2016.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondents : Mr.M.Ramesh,
Government Advocate for R.1

Mr.P.Thiyagarajan for R.2

No appearance for R.3 to R.9

ORDER

The petitioner has made a representation to the first respondent for cancelling of sale deed, in the light of Circular No.67, dated 03.11.2011. Alleging that the said representation has not been considered, she has moved this writ petition.

2. There is no representation for the petitioner.



WP(MD)No.15141 of 2016

WEB COPY

3.The Inspector General of Registration vide his proceedings in letter No. 41530/u1/2017 dated 20.10.2017 has withdrawn the Circular No.67 and the District Registrars were also informed to return the petitions received under Circular No.67, subsequent to the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Satya Pal Anand v. State of M.P., [(2016) 10 SCC 767]***. In the said decision, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that the power to cancel the registration is a substantive matter and in the absence of any express provision on that behalf, it is not open to assume that the Registering Officer would be competent to cancel the registration of the documents in question.

4.Thereafter, for cancellation of registered documents, the State of Tamil Nadu brought an amendment to the Registration Act vide the Registration (Tamil Nadu Second Amendment) Act, 2021. By this amendment, Sections 22B, 77A, 77B, 81A and 81B were inserted in the Registration Act. Section 77A provides the power to the Registrar to cancel the registered documents, if found to be fraudulent. However, this Court in ***S.M. Hajabakruthen v. The Inspector General of Registration [W.P.(MD)Nos.14546 of 2022, etc., batch dated 27.03.2023]*** has referred the issue regarding the applicability of Section 77A of the



WP(MD)No.15141 of 2016

Act to the documents that were registered prior to its enactment, for consideration by a Larger Bench of this Court.

5. In view of the above position, this writ petition is disposed with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent civil Court to redress his grievance. If any suit is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be entertained by the civil Court by excluding the period for which this writ petition was pending before this Court for the purpose of calculating the limitation period. Liberty is also granted to the writ petitioner to invoke Section 77A of the Act upon the outcome of the reference made. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
rm/gk

21.02.2023

To

The District Registrar (Admin),
O/o.the District Registrar,
Ariyalur Registration District.



WEB COPY



WP(MD)No.15141 of 2016

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

gk

WP(MD)No.15141 of 2016

21.02.2023