



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 19.09.2023

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.(MD)No.1124 of 2023

M.Rosy

.. Petitioner / mother
of the detenu

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu

rep. by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate

Tenkasi District
Tenkasi

3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai
Tirunelveli District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records connected with the detention order passed in MHS Confdl. No.17/2023 dated 07.03.2023 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu or body of the detenu namely the



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2023

petitioner's son, ie., Mukesh aged about 23 years, S/o.Rajesh, now detained at the Central Prison, Palayamkottai, before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Pragalathan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadikumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Mukesh aged about 23 years, S/o.Rajesh. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in MHS Confdl. No.17/2023 dated 07.03.2023 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2023

focus his argument on the ground that the detaining authority, after being aware of the fact that detenu has filed bail application and the same is pending, came to the conclusion that there is a real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail by relying upon the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.50/2022 dated 01.02.2022. The learned counsel therefore submitted that the order that was relied upon by the detaining authority was not similar and the accused therein was granted bail during COVID-19 pandemic and on that score, the detention order is liable to be interfered with.

4. Though counter has not been filed by the respondents, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the Habeas Corpus Petition. He would further submit that on completion of investigation, charge sheet has been filed and the same is yet to be taken on file.

5. On carefully going through the detention order, the detaining authority was aware of the fact that the detenu has filed any bail application and the same is pending. However, the detaining authority took into consideration the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.50/2022 dated 01.02.2022 and came to the conclusion that there is a likelihood of the detenu coming out on



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2023

bail. Perusal of the order shows that the accused therein had been granted bail during the COVID-19 pandemic and therefore, the bail order that was relied upon by the detaining authority cannot be considered to be a similar one.

6. In view of the above, the detention order suffers from non application of mind and the same is liable to be interfered with by this Court. The impugned detention order is, therefore, liable to be quashed.

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in MHS Confdl. No.17/2023 dated 07.03.2023 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Mukesh, S/o.Rajesh, aged about 23 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(M.S.R.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
19.09.2023

Internet : Yes
RR



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2023

To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat Chennai-600 009.
2. The Joint Secretary
Public (Law and order)
Secretariat, Chennai.
3. The District Collector and District Magistrate
Tenkasi District
Tenkasi
4. The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Palayamkottai
Tirunelveli District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



HCP(MD)No.1124 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH, J.
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR,J.

RR

H.C.P.(MD)No.1124 of 2023

19.09.2023