



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18616 of 2022

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED:24.03.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **G.ILANGO VAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.18616 of 2022

1.Sangili

2.Annalakhmi

3.Ponmani

4.Velmurugan

5.Pavithra

6.Asaithambi @ Alaguraja

7.V.Karuppasamy

: Petitioners/A1 to A7

Vs.

1.The State: Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Avaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No.968 of 2021)

: R1/Complainant

2.Mahalakshmi

: R2/De-facto Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, to call for the records in CC No.942 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.6, Madurai and quash the same as against these petitioners and pass such other orders.

For Petitioners : M/s.M.Sangeetha

For R1 : Mr.S.Manikandan
Government Advocate
(Criminal side)

For R2 : Mr.K.R.Manimaran



ORDER

WEB COPY

This criminal original petition has been filed seeking to quash the entire proceedings in CC No.942 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.6, Madurai.

2.The contention of the petitioners is that based on the complaint lodged by the 2nd respondent, the 1st respondent registered the First Information Report in Crime No.968 of 2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) IPC and section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act, 2002 and it was taken cognizance in CC No.942 of 2022 by the Judicial Magistrate No.6, Madurai.

3.The further contention of the petitioners is that they compromised the issue with the 2nd respondent amicably. A joint memo of compromise was filed, which has been duly signed by the petitioners and the 2nd respondent and also by their respective counsels.

4.The petitioners and the 2nd respondent appeared before this Court along with their counsels and also produced their Aadhar cards. They were also identified by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side)



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.18616 of 2022

and the Investigation Officer. Both the parties were enquired by me and they accepted the terms of compromise. Hence, the Compromise Memo is recorded.

5.Considering the totality of the circumstances, the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and also in view of the joint compromise memo entered into between the parties, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the matter pending and inclined to quash entire proceedings in CC No.942 of 2022 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.6, Madurai, in respect of the petitioners.

6.In the result, the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the entire proceedings in CC No.942 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate No.6, Madurai, is hereby quashed. The compromise memo is recorded and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part of this order.

24.03.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
er



CrI.O.P.(MD)No.18616 of 2022

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.6,
Madurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Avaniyapuram Police Station,
Madurai City.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18616 of 2022

G.ILANGOVAN. J.

er

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.18616 of 2022

24.03.2023