



WP(MD)No.10268 of 2016

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 22.02.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

WP(MD)No.10268 of 2016

and

WMP(MD)No.8023 of 2016

Puliprabakaran

... Petitioner

v.

1.The Superintending Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Generation and
Distribution Corporation (TANGEDCO),
Pudukottai,
Pudukottai District.

2.The Assistant Executive Engineer,
Operation & Maintenance / Rural,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Generation and
Distribution Corporation (TANGEDCO),
Aranthangi,
Pudukottai District.

3.The Assistant Electrical Engineer,
Operation & Maintenance / Rural,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Generation and
Distribution Corporation (TANGEDCO),
Aranthangi,
Pudukottai District.

... Respondents



WP(MD)No.10268 of 2016

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus forbearing the respondents 2 & 3 from disconnecting the electricity service connection (SC.No.141-016-905) issued to the petitioner's aqua water unit namely Sakthi Aqua Farm situated in S.No.81/14, Koothangudi, Kovilvayal Group, Aranthangi Panchayat Union and Taluk, Pudukottai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Rahamadullah

For Respondents : Mr.S.Deenadhayalan,
Standing Counsel

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking a mandamus forbearing the respondents from disconnecting the electric service connection in SC.No.141-016-905.

2.Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the service connection stands in the name of the petitioner's brother and taking advantage of the same, the petitioner's brother attempted to disconnect the service connection and therefore, the petitioner has moved this writ petition. He further submitted that this Court, while entertaining the writ petition, has



WP(MD)No.10268 of 2016

ordered to maintain *status-quo* and therefore, the service connection has not been disconnected. In the meantime, the petitioner's brother has filed a civil suit before the Sub Court, Pudukottai, in OS.No.302 of 2014 and the same was dismissed.

3.Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents Board has also confirmed the same that the service connection has not been disconnected.

4.It appears that there is some dispute between the petitioner and his brother. The service connection, admittedly, stands in the name of the petitioner's brother, who made certain request to the Board to disconnect the same and therefore, the petitioner has moved this writ petition, however, without impleading his brother as a party.

5.This Court is not inclined to entertain this petition and the same is accordingly dismissed. The petitioner is at liberty to work out his remedy before the competent civil Court by establishing his right in the service



WEB COPY



WP(MD)No.10268 of 2016

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

gk

connection. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index : Yes / No
gk

22.02.2023

WP(MD)No.10268 of 2016